Walker Junior High School
School Accountability Report Card
Reported Using Data from the 2011-12 School Year
Published During 2012-13

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site
EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest
DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access
Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2012-13)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Walker Junior High School
Street	8132 Walker Street
City, State, Zip	La Palma, CA 90623-2049
Phone Number	(714) 220-4051
Principal	Kirsten Levitin, Ed.D.
E-mail Address	levitin_k@auhsd.us
CDS Code	30664316058903

District Contact Information	
District Name	Anaheim Union High School District
Phone Number	(714) 999-3511
Web Site	www.auhsd.us
Superintendent	Elizabeth I. Novack, Ph.D.
E-mail Address	webmaster@auhsd.k12.ca.us

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

The mission of Walker Junior High School is to provide rigorous programs and curricula in which our students are challenged to meet high levels of academic achievement. Students will become compassionate life-long learners as they develop a greater sense of their role in the global community. Walker will provide a comprehensive system of support services that will ensure that all students learn.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Walker Junior High is a family friendly school. Walker offers parents the opportunity to be involved in a strong PTSA, ELAC, and School Site Council, as well as several volunteer opportunities. Walker has an established cohort of skilled volunteers to help with lunchtime activities and supervision on a daily basis. Walker reaches out to 6th grade parents through a 6th grade student/parent orientation meeting that coincides with Open House. Additionally, Walker utilizes its Counseling Department to reach out to feeder elementary schools, and also reaches out to the elementary schools through selected elective and academic programs. Walker facilitates communication to students and parents in a variety of ways, including a student newspaper, a school website, College/Career Night, Back to School Night/Open House, Career Day, Aeries training, and parent workshops on many topics that are of interest to parents. All parents are encouraged to get involved and volunteer at Walker. We look forward to meeting all of you!

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2011-12)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	562
Grade 8	516
Total Enrollment	1,078

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2011-12)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	4.2	**White**	19.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3	**Two or More Races**	7.4
Asian	18.7	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**	43.3
Filipino	8.9	**English Learners**	27.6
Hispanic or Latino	40.4	**Students with Disabilities**	3.7
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.3		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2009-10				2010-11				2011-12			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	32	44	11	21	33.1	2	15	29	31.5	6	13	27
Mathematics	31.5	2	17	18	36.1	5	2	30	33.2	4	8	25
Science	32.4	2	15	19	36.1	4	1	30	35.6	4	0	30
Social Science	27.9	9	12	22	34	5	1	30	33.8	4	3	29

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

School Safety Plans are reviewed on an annual basis. The plan was discussed and reviewed by site staff in September/October of 2012. The Discipline Committee meets regularly to address issues as they arise.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate*	School			District		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Suspensions	10.59	13.70	9.37	12.3	10.01	4.55
Expulsions	0.25	0.27	0.37	0.97	0.70	0.52

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

• Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
• Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
• The year and month in which the data were collected
• Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: November 2012

Walker Junior High School opened in 1959. The 27 acre site includes 26 regular classrooms and a number of portable classroom buildings. There are 18 labs, which are designed for specific programs (i.e. computer lab, science lab, choral music room, etc.) The site also includes a cafeteria, a library, a gym and a variety of sports fields.

Maintenance and Repair: Site and District maintenance staff ensure that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule: The District's Board of Trustees has adopted cleaning standards for all schools. The administration works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. All classrooms and restrooms are cleaned daily. Deep cleaning, including waxing of floors and painting, takes place during times when students are not in classes. Students, parents, and staff are encouraged to report any objectionable conditions via a uniform complaint procedure.

The most recent site inspection was completed on November 19, 2012.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	Broken ceiling tile in Room B1.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
Electrical: Electrical	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	Missing two light difusers in Room 22.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	Drinking fountain is leaking behind unit at Main Office.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[ ]	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	Wood in outside overhang over Rooms 1-5 needs replalcing and paint is peeling. Room 35 has a broken facia board.
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
Overall Rating	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2011-12
With Full Credential	44	44	40	1,281
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	3	3	0	---

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

*   "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2011-12)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	100	0
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	N/A	N/A

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2011-12)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	2	539
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.5	---
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1	---
Psychologist	0.5	---
Social Worker	0	---
Nurse	0.16	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.8	---
Resource Specialist	0	---
Other	0	---

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2009

All content subject areas utilize standards-aligned, State and District adopted textbooks and instructional materials. Teachers have input into the textbook selection process at the district-level through an established curriculum cycle. Teachers are given indepth training on the instructional materials and the ancillary materials that accompany the basic textbook material. Ancillary materials support both the language needs and the learning needs of students.

This information was collected in November 2012.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Reading/language arts textbooks were adopted in 2008-09 There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Mathematics	Mathematics textbooks were adopted in 2007-08. Course appropriate, standards-based textbooks were chosen for each mathematics course. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Science	Science textbooks were adopted in 2006-07. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
History-Social Science	History/Social science textbooks were adopted in 2005-06. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Foreign Language	Foreign language textbooks were adopted in 2003-04. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Health	Health textbooks were adopted in 2004-05. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	**Supplemental/ Restricted**	**Basic/ Unrestricted**	
School Site	$6,115	$1,229	$4,886	$81,469
District	---	---	$5,475	$81,535
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	-10.75%	-0.08%
State	---	---	$5,425	$67,932
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	-9.94%	19.93%

*   **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.
** **Basic/Unrestricted expenditures** are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)
This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Walker has an English Language Learner program that supports 2nd language learners. Walker also has Intensive Reading for students who are in need of intensive reading instruction. We also offer an English Support class for students who are struggling with English foundation. We offer a double blocked math intervention class as well. Our Math Department offers students assistance in our Math Lab after school. We have a Homework club after school Monday and Tuesday. We have partnered with Kennedy High School across the street. They send students over daily to assist in English, math and study skills classes. They host a tutorial every Wednesday after school. During our late start professional development mornings, we offer a tutorial for students run by a certificated teacher and our incredible instructional assistants.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	$46,634	$42,660
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	$84,860	$69,198
Highest Teacher Salary	$97,693	$88,943
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)		
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	$122,243	$121,140
Average Principal Salary (High)	$135,376	$127,707
Superintendent Salary	$236,654	$202,123
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39%	36%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.

- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.

- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
English-Language Arts	62	61	69	48	49	54	52	54	56
Mathematics	45	47	51	31	35	37	48	50	51
Science	66	66	69	53	58	64	54	57	60
History-Social Science	58	63	59	46	49	51	44	48	49

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	54	37	64	51
All Student at the School	69	51	69	59
Male	65	53	69	60
Female	73	50	70	58
Black or African American	58	42	54	48
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	83	77	87	80
Filipino	84	67	73	67
Hispanic or Latino	58	37	58	47
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White	70	49	76	59
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	59	42	62	45
English Learners	33	26	31	21
Students with Disabilities	30	13	38	19
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

*   Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2011-12)
The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	20.9	24.4	30.5

*   Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index
The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison
This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2009	2010	2011
Statewide	7	7	6
Similar Schools	2	2	2

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
All Students at the School	1	-1	24
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian	5	-6	11
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	-6	11	31
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White	0	-16	35
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	4	6	16
English Learners	47	-53	15
Students with Disabilities			

*   "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2012 Growth API Comparison
This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API at the school, district, and state level.

Group	2012 Growth API					
	School		District		State	
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API
All Students at the School	1,017	828	25,547	779	4,664,264	788
Black or African American	52	756	771	762	313,201	710
American Indian or Alaska Native	5		88	788	31,606	742
Asian	213	928	3,228	927	404,670	905
Filipino	108	903	1,103	889	124,824	869
Hispanic or Latino	414	766	16,793	733	2,425,230	740
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	6		223	795	26,563	775
White	219	828	3,296	834	1,221,860	853
Two or More Races	0		4		88,428	849
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	433	769	18,105	742	2,779,680	737
English Learners	250	791	11,909	698	1,530,297	716
Students with Disabilities	90	547	2,573	555	530,935	607

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2011-12)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	No

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	12
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	57.1

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

Teachers participate in a variety of District in-services as well as professional development workshops and conferences to enhance their content knowledge and instructional skills. New teachers are also required to participate in the Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) program. The District and school continue to train teachers in strategies to deliver a differentiated curriculum with depth and complexity. Teachers learn to utilize students' assessment results in order to target instruction to better meet the individual needs of students. All District staff members are supported in their efforts to deliver highly-effective lessons. Teacher collaboration time occurs every Thursday morning, during late-start days, and is used for professional development activities, which include analyzing student assessment results, refining curriculum, and sharing new instructional strategies to help facilitate the transition to the Common Core State Standards. Classified staff members have many opportunities to participate in training designed to enhance their effectiveness with students. Walker's Lesson Design Specialist, who also teaches at Walker, works with the School Leadership Team to plan and implement meaningful professional development activities.